

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,901	09/27/2001	Mark S. Roby	2788	3223	
75	90 06/02/2003				
Chief Patent Counsel			EXAMINER		
United States Surgical Division of Tyco Healthcare Group LP			ROBERTS, PAUL A		
150 Glover Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Norwalk, CT (	00800		3731	- IN DRIVENOUS N	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2003	(6)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·*						
[		Application No	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers		09/964,901	ROBY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paul A Roberts	3731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress		
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sicions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statulory period to re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel ONTHS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication,		
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	January 2002 .				
2a)☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)∐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  osition of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application	1.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) $\underline{\textit{1-29}}$ are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examine	er.		
40\[ -	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•				
	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	5. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	).	Stage		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		application)		
_a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has	been received.	арриодиону.		
Attachment		, , ,	- 00 milater 1441.			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( of Informal Patent Application (PTC			
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 5			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-21, drawn to method making a surgical needle, classified in class 163, subclass 2.

II. Claim 22-29, drawn a coating on a surgical needle, classified in class 606, subclass 222.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case a surgical needle can be made from many different processes. The needle could be dipped into a vat of the coating material and then sharpened to a point.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The applicant has claimed different compositions of the coating. Applicant should elect a coating comprising A) aminoalkyl siloxane or B) polydimethylsiloxane. Claims 24 and 28 appear to characterize A) and claims 25 and 29 appear to characterize B).

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 23, 24, 26, and 27 appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mark Farber on May 29, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention,

Art Unit: 3731

the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A Roberts whose telephone number is (703) 305-7558. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Paul Roberts UMay 29, 2003

MICHAEL J. MILANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700